UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,206	12/17/2008	John W. Babich	346715-0632 NATL	7163
48329 FOLEY & LAR	7590 03/21/201 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
	TON AVENUE	JONES, DAMERON LEVEST		
26TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA	02199-7610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	10/589,206	BABICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. L. Jones	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this composition (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	uaust 2006.					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	pane daay.e,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ✓ Claim(s) <u>26-28 and 52-84</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>52-80 and 82-84</u> is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ✓ Claim(s) <u>26-28 and 81</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attachment.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Information Disclosure Statements Attached: 1/24/11; 11/4/10; 10/13/10; 5/27/10; 5/17/10; 4/20/10; 11/25/09; 9/3/09; 3/26/09; & 2/7/07

/D. Jones/ 3/17/11 Primary Examiner Art Unit 1618

Art Unit: 1618

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

1. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the amendment filed 8/11/06 wherein the specification was amended; claims 1-25 and 29-51 were canceled; and claims 81, 82, and 84 were amended.

Note: Claims 26-28 and 52-84 are pending.

APPLICANT'S INVENTION

2. Applicant's invention is directed to bis(heteroaryl) compounds and uses thereof as set forth in independent claims 26, 52, 56, 63, and 71.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S ELECTION

3. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 26-28 and 81) in the reply filed on 2/1/11 is acknowledged. Thus, the restriction requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

In addition, the Examiner acknowledges Applicant's election of the species of Formula C wherein L = quinolinyl, R = (CH2)4CH(NH2)(COOH); Z = COOH; m =1; and n = 1. Initially, Applicant's elected species was searched. However, since no prior art was found to reject Applicant's elected species, the search was expanded to that wherein L = quinolinyl; R = H; n = 0; m = 0; and Z = substituted 2-(carboxyl)heteroaryl group. The search was not further expanded because prior art was found which could be used to reject the claims.

WITHDRAWN CLAIMS

4. Claims 52-80 and 82-84 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention/species.

Art Unit: 1618

112 FIRST PARAGRAPH REJECTION (Written Description)

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 26-28 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant is reminded that an Inventor is entitled to a patent to protect his work only if he/she produces or has possession of something truly new and novel. The invention being claimed must be sufficiently concrete so that it can be described for the world to appreciate the specific nature of the work that sets it apart from what was before. The Inventor must be able to describe the item to be patented with such clarity that the Reader is assured that the Inventor actually has possession and knowledge of the unique composition that makes it worthy of patent protection. The instant application does not sufficiently describe the invention as it relates to the ligands for G-protein coupled receptors that are encompassed by the instant invention. Applicant is reminded that while a generic claim may define the boundaries of a vast genus of chemical compounds (i.e., ligands for a G-protein coupled receptor), the question may still remain whether the specification demonstrates that Applicant invention species sufficient to support a claim to a genus. In this particular instance, the issue is

Art Unit: 1618

especially acute with genus claims that use functional language to define the boundaries of a claimed genus. Thus, the claims are directed to a desired result (i.e., the compound has to be a functional ligand for a G-protein coupled receptor) wherein specific species that achieve that result are not disclosed. However, one is reminded that the specification must demonstrate the Applicant has made a generic invention that achieves the claimed result and do so by showing that the Applicant has invented species sufficient to support a claim to the functionally defined genus. As a result, what the Reader gathers from the instant application is a desire/plan/first step for obtaining a desired result. While the Reader can certainly appreciate the desire for achieving a certain end result, establishing goals does not necessarily mean that an invention has been adequately described.

While compliance with the written description requirements must be determined on a case-by-case basis, the real issue here is simply whether an adequate description is necessary to practice an invention described only in terms of its function and/or based on a disclosure wherein a description of the components necessary in order for the invention to function are lacking. In order to satisfy the written description requirement, the specification must describe every element of the claimed invention in sufficient detail so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the Inventor possessed the claimed invention at the time of filing. In other words, the specification should describe an invention and does so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that the Inventor created what is the claimed. Thus, the written description requirement is lacking in the instant invention since the various terms as set forth above

Art Unit: 1618

are not described in a manner to clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that Applicant invented what is being claimed.

112 SECOND PARAGRAPH REJECTIONS

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 26-28 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

<u>Claims 26-28 and 81</u>: The claims as written are ambiguous because it is unclear what ligands for a G-protein coupled receptor (see claim 26, line 10) Applicant is claiming that is compatible with the instant invention.

102 REJECTION

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 26 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Illig et al (WO 00/47578).

Illig et al disclose heteroaryl amidines, methyl amidines, and guanidines that may be used as protease inhibitors (see entire document, especially, abstract). In particular, Illig et al disclose Example 197(a) which is directed to the formation of methyl-5-methylthio-4-(6-quinolylamino)thiophene-2-carboxylate (page 225, lines 4-22).

Art Unit: 1618

The structure of Example 197(a) is

Thus, both Applicant and Illig et al disclose a species encompassed by Applicant's Formula C wherein R = H; n = 0; m = 0; L = quinolyI; and Z = substituted 2-(carboxyI)heteroaryI group. Hence, the inventions disclose overlapping subject matter.

COMMENTS/NOTES

- 11. It should be noted that prior art has not been cited against claims 27 and 28. However, the claims are rejected for 112 issues. In addition, it should be noted that the claims are only allowable as it relates to Applicant's elected species and the species of Illig et al cited in the rejection above. Specifically, the prior art neither anticipates nor renders obvious Applicant's radiolabeled elected species or the radiolabeling of the compound of Illig et al.
- 12. It should be noted that the full scope of the elected invention has not been search because prior art was found which could be used to reject the claims.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571)272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. 3:15 p.m..

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. L. Jones/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1618

March 17, 2011